

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/726,608		12/04/2003	Alexander Schnell	033275-420	6934	
21839	7590	04/12/2006		EXAMINER		
		ERSOLL PC S, DOANE, SWECK	KASTLER, SCOTT R			
POST OFFI		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXAND	RIA, VA	22313-1404	1742	·		

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_ 1 /			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	P			
Office Action Summary		10/726,608	SCHNELL ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Scott Kastler	1742				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M	arch 2006.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
. —	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		-	(d).			
11)∐	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	·	ed in this National Stage				
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ed				
Attachmer	• •	_	·				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Info	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/726,608

Art Unit: 1742

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submissions filed on 3/17/2006 and 3/3/2006 have been entered.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-12 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of copending Application No. 10/726,542 in view of the admitted prior art of the instant disclosure. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because firstly, the step of "determining" either the service metal temperature or the depletion cannot be relied upon to fairly further limit any of the claims because these steps are a) not connected to

Art Unit: 1742

any actual physical process step and therefore constitute no more than a non-limiting mental, calculation and b) the actual steps of determining either of these properties are not described in the claims. Secondly, the claims of the '542 application allow for the instantly claimed heat treating steps, where the admitted prior art of the instant disclosure (see page 5 line 19) teaches that the instantly recited heat treatments are typical for MCrAlY coatings. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the standard heat treatments known at the time the invention was made as taught by the admitted prior art of the instant disclosure, for heat treating the turbine blades of the '542 application.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see the declaration and arguments filed on 3/3/2006, with respect to the rejection under 35 USC 103 over either of the Antonelli references in view of the admitted prior art of the instant disclosure have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of the above claims over these references have been withdrawn.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,608 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

sk